



# CITY OF RIDGECREST

100 West California Avenue  
Ridgecrest, California 93555-4054

## MEETING OF THE CITY OF RIDGECREST INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

Wednesday January 11, 2012 at 5:00 pm

Committee Members: Chairman Jerry Taylor, Council Member Steven Morgan  
Planning Commissioner Craig Porter  
Planning Commissioner James Sanders

### MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER: Meeting was called to order at 5:03 pm
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA Chairman Jerry Taylor asked for a motion to approve the agenda. Planning Commissioner James Sanders made the motion, seconded by Councilman Steven Morgan. The Agenda was then approved as submitted.
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES Chairman Jerry Taylor asked for a motion to approve the minutes from December 14, 2011; Councilman Steven Morgan made the motion to approve the minutes, motion was seconded by Planning Commissioner James Sander. Minutes were approved as submitted.
4. PUBLIC COMMENTS OF ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA  
Dave Matthews French and Drummond coming out of High school ½ mile back red does not cycle and also the left turn lane heading west on California at China Lake.
5. DISCUSSION ITEMS:
  - A. Call for Projects – List of RSTP and CMAQ  
Loren Culp discussed the project list that was distributed to the committee members on January 10, 2012 by email. Informed the committee the dollar amount (300K) for FY of 12/13 and 13/14 and also brought forth the information of matching funds. Mr. Culp discussed the pros and cons to the Sunland project as a RSTP project. Jerry Taylor asked what rank the projects. Dennis explained that the dollar amount is just fixed. Loren explained that we wouldn't be held to the federal reporting if we used the TAB money on the Sunland project or the stringent compliance issues that the federal government has for construction guidelines. Discussed the China Lake Blvd Project as a second option. Wal-Mart was discussed and how that would play into the intersection at Bowman and China Lake Blvd. Dennis explained the caveat of the Regional Choice on China Lake Blvd and how it would be the only street to qualify for the Regional Choice money in the City.  
Craig Porter – read about on website how does it qualify for projects. Had questions about how the projects qualify for the resurfacing and reconstruction. Loren Culp showed Planning Commissioner Porter the criteria for projects as a RSTP project and how they would qualify. Planning Commissioner Craig Porter still had questions and would take this off line to get the answers.  
Dave Matthews – restate about how it would be a cost saving to the City if Sunland was done with TAB money instead of Federal funds and maybe this would get in the paper.

Loren Culp – savings is in the federal funds - engineering requirements, ADA requirements still have to comply but not as rigorous. Reporting requirements are not as strenuous.

Jerry Taylor – 600k at Jan 30 of this month.

Recommendations: 1) China Lake Blvd – Bowman to Upjohn

2) Downs – Bowman to Upjohn

This is with TAB monies available. If tab monies are not available please move forward with Sunland.

CMAQ – Synchronization to make sure that a platoon of cars can travel between traffic signals. Would be timed and made sure that they are synch together. This would make traffic move efficiently in the southerly portion of the City. Another project would be the half street at Drummond from Downs to Inyo. CMAQ money is used for improving dirt roads and is a good pot of money for doing these kinds of projects. The committee agreed that Drummond should take precedent over the synchronization project. The committee agreed that if there were other projects that staff felt were qualified for the funding then we should submit. Staff suggested Radar from Downs to Sunset and Graff – Norma to Sierra View.

B. Wal-Mart Pilot Channel –

Indemnification agreement – Attorney came and discussed with the group the Indemnification agreement on the agenda tonight at City Council. Wal-Mart was concerned with storm drain with being sued. We will be considered the owner. Discussed designed immunity. Engineer's signature at the time we have reasonable design. What is the risk to us? We have some potential of added cost. We (the attorneys) are recommending moving forward. Jerry Taylor asked if there is a time limit. 5 to 10 year. Dave Matthews- difference between latent and patent. Attorney gave a brief clarification.

6. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

- Moving forward for developing list for on alternative paving for the 3.6 million.
- TAB money is being heavy used for programmed projects
- RC Blvd – 2 have agreed to donate their property. Still working on the other property owners with their pieces.

7. COMMITTEE'S COMMENTS

8. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

9. ADJOURNMENT : Meeting was adjourned at 5:55